-London Risk Register - Marc					Τ			Current Per	riod		Prior Perio	d					
af. Risk	Classification	Link to Objectives	Impact on Objectives	Causes Of Risk	Preventative Controls	Mitigating Controls	Commentary	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Impact Score (1-5)	Risk Score	Likelihoo d Score (1-5)	Impact Score (1-5)	Risk Score	Appetite	Appetite Rational	Route to Matching Appetite (Commentary)	
Insufficient financial resources to deliver the 2021-25 business plan/strategic goals:	Financial	А, В	Objectives would not be fulfilled. Focus of Reclored's mission might need to change; its effectiveness will be affected.	Reduced local authority finances, Failure to attract private capital for convestment. Failure to attract further funding from DEFRA Limited access to EU funding. Linked with restricted investment, threat to sustainability. Over-reliance on investment activity to the detriment of other income streams. ReLondon's commercial activities fail to return a profit.	programmes to attract funding. Manage resources efficiently. Lobby government for additional funds; Nurture current projects and monitor investments; Fundraiser Lead appointed. Commercial Manager	Revise ReLondon programmes in light of actual financial situation.	Wording of Preventative Controls updated.	2.0	4.0	8.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	Averse (5)	Should adjust programmes to match budget		
<ol> <li>Reputational risk through not achieving London's recycling targets:</li> </ol>	Strategic	A	ReLondon held accountable for failure to achieve target. Reputational risk to ReLondon: . ReLondon loses support of LA's.	Limited influence over LA's / local politics / long-term borough contracts / Failure of boroughs to engage in ReLondon support offerings Impact of global market conditions. Household recycling stagnates desibe Resource London's programme activities; London semographics ReLondon Local Authority Support programme fails to deliver its expected benefits	Compare London with other big UK and world cities     - Specific programme of activity with Local Authorities providing tailored and bespoke communications and service support as well as London-wide programmas. - Regional and local communication and behaviour change activity to promote recycling among residents. Raising the profile of projects.	Rigorous evaluation of everything done - to have strong evidence ReLondon is doing everything in its power.	Note that ReLondon may still be able deliver succetty programmes but it is possible that these do not translate to measurable changes to recycling rates.	5.0	3.5	17.5	5.0	3.5	17.5	Cautious (15)	ReLondon actually has limited abiity to address recycling rates.		
3 Failure to influence key stakeholders in delivering the circular economy:	Strategic	A	ReLondon objectives not met; reputational damage; failure to secure funding.	Limited influence over businesses; Need to balance views of multiple stakeholders; Programmes fail to deliver tangible benefits	ReLondon is part of different global networks which brings it into contact with large business stakeholders. Establishing collaboration to engage with stakeholders. Leading the debate on the circular economy Influencing big policy changers. Board members to use their influence more	Ensure ReLondon has the skills required to engage appropariately with key stakeholders, though staff recruitment and/or development.		3.0	0 4.0	12.0	3.0	4.0	12.0	Cautious (15)	CE programme predicated on ability to get others to deliver change		
4 Lack of skills / experience to deliver ReLandon objectives / vision.	Operational	А, В	Insufficient skills to deliver ReLondon objectives	Over-reliance on personal relationships with key stateholders Potential loss of key staff Lack of appropriate in-house skills Lack of succession planning and development pathways . Organisational growth	Competencies have been introduced to the HR system to help map requirments and identify gaps. Required skills and competencies are specified in job descriptions for positons to be	External consultants are engaged if required;	Lkelihod was reduced to 2 at the November 21 Audie Committee meeting, following successful recruitment of a new Director of Operations and Governance.	2.0	3.0	6.0	2.0	3.0	6.0	Averse (5)	ReLondon should be able to secure and manage the expertise it requires.		
5 Failure to deliver externally funded programmes:	Strategic	A, B, C	Reputational damage among key stakeholders. Could result in penalties.	Projects do not deliver the expected benefits	Robust governance, good skills and practice, good project methodology.			3.0	0 3.5	10.5	3.0	3.5	10.5	Minimal (10)	Failure to deliver risks 'claw back' and jeopardises ability to secure future funding.		
6 Serious risk to delivery as a result of change in political environment.	Political- External	A, B, C	ReLondon loses its capability to influence (at a local level and beyond) thereby threatening the achievement of its objectives.	Reliance on key stakeholders, e.g. Mayer, GLA. London Councils changes. Macro-political change.	Demonstrate performance and show record of success - Regulary meet with government, councis and stakeholders to communicate this - Make sure government recorgists the success of the ReLondon model - Maintain relations with London Councils. Open channel of communication (communication strategy). Survey stakeholders to understand their view of ReLondon.	Close relationships with GLA and London Councils are maintained at an officer level.	Likelihood increased to 4 due to local authority elections in May which may lead to uncertainty.	4.(	0 3.0	12.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	Cautious (15)	ReLondon can do little to change local political environment.		
7 (Deleted - no current risk 7)																	
8 ReLondon is unable to demonstrate measurable impact:	Strategic	A, B, C	ReLondon loses its capability to influence, thereby threatening the achievement of its objectives.	. Profile - people who need to know may not know ReLondon are . ReLondon not seen as relevant, i.e. to LA's, mayor, GLA, etc. . Communication risk - if something is going well people don't know about it.	Measure outputs and contribution form the programme. All projects and programmes are closely monitored and regulary reported to the Board.		Consultants currently being recruited to develop and implement an impact assessement methodology for ReLondon.	2.0			2.0			Averse (5)	ReLondon should not be embarking on projects and programmes if impact is not meaurable.		
9 Investments fail to deliver sufficient returns to fulfil ReLondon's objectives -	Strategic	A, B, C	Projects do not return ReLondon's invested capital nor interest - Board objectives not achieved - Reduced funds available for future investment.	Small scale of investments means ReLondon has insufficient leverage with fund managers / investments. Lack of alternative fund managers. Investments underperform. Increased focus on rule of projects. Investments underperform. Increased focus on rule projen investment and consultancy support programmes brings increased risk of failure. Failure to have a significant scale impact.	No new direct investments made. Any investment activity into 3 zharty funds. Portfolio performance reported to AC.		-	4.(	0 4.0	16.0	4.0	4.0	16.0	Open (20)	Investment programm is now closed to new investments. Little influence		
10 Disruption arising from the UK leaving the European Union. The scale and scope of the risks remain uncertain as the form of the UK's future trading relationship with the EU is still evolving.	Various	A, B, C	Adjustment required to new regime; Staff retention; potential financial downtrun affecting ReLondon investments and businesses with which it works; Potential environmental regulatory changes.	Uncertainty over future arangemnets post Brexit.	ReLondon is a flexible organisation, as evidenced in the flexibility in its business plan. This flexibility should allow ReLondon's programmes to adjust to the as yet uncertain post Brexit environment.		Risk definition updated. While exit has now happened, overall risk remains significant as the full implications are yet to become fully apparent.	3.0	0 3.0	9.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	Averse (5)	Can't put in preventative controls.		
11 ReLondon unable to deliver its programmes due to the COVID-19 pandemic.	Various	A, B, C	Staff unable to work due to restrictions on travel and access to the office or illness. Key stakeholders similarly affected and attention focussed on pandemic; Economic downturn affecting investment and collaboration potential.	COVID-19	All staff are able to work from home with full access to ReLondon IT systems. Online technology is being used adensively for communication and collaboration. Board and Committees are able to meet via online systems.	ReLondon delivery plan is kept under review and will be adjusted as appropriate.	been lifted. Experience has demonstrated ability to continue remotely if necessecary. ReLondon office is now fully re-opened and a 'hybrid' working model has been adopted. Likilhood reduced to 2.	2.0	3.0	6.0	3.0	3.0		Minimal (10)	ReLondon will have to continue to function through the pandemic, so must find solutions.		
40 0 1	Financial, Operational,	A, B, C,	Staff time is streched between commercial activites and other 'core' activities. ReLondon's reputation could be negativley affected if	ReLondon commercial activity.	a Commercialisation Group been establised to oversee all commercial work and to consider which opportunities should be pursued and	A trading company has been set up to ring/ence the commercial activities.	Wording of Preventative Controls updated.	3.0	0 3.0	9.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	Open (20)	Commercial approach will not be successful if risk appetite is too constrained. Long-term sustainability of		
12 Risks arising from commercial activity.	Reputational		perceived to be too commercial. Financial and reputational risks of failing to deliver on commercial contracts.		ensure commercial activity is only undertaken if it can be suitably resourced.										ReLondon requires successful commercial activity so an openess to risk is required.		
12 (KISKs ansing from commercial activity.	Reputational		reputational risks of failing to deliver on												successful commercial activity so an openess to		
12 (Kisks ansing from commercial activity.	Reputational		reputational risks of failing to deliver on												successful commercial activity so an openess to		

							1
			0				